

INEQUALITIES FOR B -CONVOLUTION OPERATORS

AKIF D. GADJIEV ¹, MUBARIZ G. HAJIBAYOV ², §

ABSTRACT. The B -convolution operators generated by the generalized shift operators associated with the Laplace-Bessel operator are considered and three inequalities are proved for these operators. The first two inequalities are O'Neil type inequalities and third inequality is a generalization of the Young inequality for the B -convolution integrals. The last inequality is also an extension of the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev theorem for the B -fractional integrals.

Keywords: Laplace-Bessel differential operator, B -convolution, O'Neil inequality, Young inequality, Lorentz space, B -fractional integral.

AMS Subject Classification: 44A35, 26D10, 42B35, 31B10,

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

It is well known (see, for example, [5]) that the generalized shift operator

$$T^y f(x) = C_{k,\gamma} \int_0^\pi \dots \int_0^\pi f((x', y')_\alpha, x'' - y'') d\nu(\alpha),$$

where $C_{k,\gamma} = \pi^{-\frac{k}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma_i+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma_i}{2})}$, $(x' y')_\alpha = ((x_1, y_1)_{\alpha_1}, \dots, (x_k, y_k)_{\alpha_k})$,

$(x_i, y_i)_{\alpha_i} = \sqrt{x_i^2 - 2x_i y_i \cos \alpha_i + y_i^2}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $(x', x'') \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, $d\nu(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^k \sin^{\gamma_i-1} \alpha_i d\alpha_i$, is closely related to the Laplace-Bessel differential operator $\Delta_B = \sum_{i=1}^k B_i + \sum_{i=k+1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$, where $B_i = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{\gamma_i}{x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ and T^y generates the corresponding B -convolution

$$(f \otimes \varphi)_\gamma(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n} f(y) (T^y \varphi(x)) (y')^\gamma dy,$$

where $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k)$ is a multi-index, $(y')^\gamma = y_1^{\gamma_1} \dots y_k^{\gamma_k}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), x_1 > 0, \dots, x_k > 0\}$.

There are a lot of papers that studied B -convolution operators and related topics associated with the Laplace-Bessel differential operator (see, for example [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]).

In the present paper some inequalities for B -convolution operators are proved.

The following theorem was proved in [4].

¹ Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences,
e-mail: akif.gadjiev@mail.az

² Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences,
e-mail: hajibayovm@yahoo.com

§ *Manuscript received 20 October 2009.*

Theorem 1.1. *Let f and φ be measurable functions on \mathbb{R}^n , then for all $t > 0$ the following inequality holds*

$$(f * \varphi)^{**}(t) \leq t f^{**}(t) \varphi^{**}(t) + \int_t^\infty f^*(s) \varphi^*(s) ds, \quad (1)$$

where $f * \varphi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y) \varphi(y) dy$.

The inequality (1) is known as the O'Neil inequality. In [4], the O'Neil type inequality for the B -convolution operators was obtained in the following form.

Theorem 1.2. [4] *Let f and φ be measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n$, then for all $t > 0$ the following inequality holds*

$$(f \otimes \varphi)_\gamma^{**}(t) \leq C_{k,\gamma} \left(f_\gamma^{**}(t) \int_0^t \varphi_\gamma^{**}(s) ds + \int_t^\infty f_\gamma^*(s) \varphi_\gamma^{**}(s) ds \right). \quad (2)$$

A simple comparison shows that the inequality (2) is not an exact analogue of the inequality (1). Moreover, since φ_γ^{**} is non-increasing on $(0, \infty)$ and $\varphi_\gamma^* \leq \varphi_\gamma^{**}$ we have $f_\gamma^{**}(t) \int_0^t \varphi_\gamma^{**}(s) ds \geq t f_\gamma^{**}(t) \varphi_\gamma^{**}(t)$ and $\int_t^\infty f_\gamma^*(s) \varphi_\gamma^{**}(s) ds \geq \int_t^\infty f_\gamma^*(s) \varphi_\gamma^*(s) ds$.

The first main result of our paper is the following theorem which gives an exact analogue of the O'Neil inequality for the B -convolution.

Theorem 1.3. *Let f and φ be measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n$, then for all $t > 0$ the following inequality holds*

$$(f \otimes \varphi)_\gamma^{**}(t) \leq t f_\gamma^{**}(t) \varphi_\gamma^{**}(t) + \int_t^\infty f_\gamma^*(s) \varphi_\gamma^*(s) ds. \quad (3)$$

In the following theorem we give another kind of estimate of the maximal function of the rearrangement of the B -convolution operator.

Theorem 1.4. *Let f and φ be measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n$, then for all $t > 0$ the following inequality holds*

$$(f \otimes \varphi)_\gamma^{**}(t) \leq \int_t^\infty f_\gamma^{**}(s) \varphi_\gamma^{**}(s) ds. \quad (4)$$

In Theorem 1.5 we obtain the generalization of the Young inequality for the B -convolution operators.

Theorem 1.5. *If $f \in L_{p_1, q_1, \gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n)$, $\varphi \in L_{p_2, q_2, \gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n)$ and $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} > 1$, then $(f \otimes \varphi) \in L_{p_0, q_0, \gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n)$ where $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} - 1 = \frac{1}{p_0}$ and $q_0 \geq 1$ is any number such that $\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} \geq \frac{1}{q_0}$. Moreover,*

$$\|(f \otimes \varphi)\|_{p_0, q_0, \gamma} \leq 3p_0 \|f\|_{p_1, q_1, \gamma} \|\varphi\|_{p_2, q_2, \gamma}. \quad (5)$$

As an application, we check that the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev theorem for the B -fractional integrals ([4]) is a particular case of Theorem 1.5.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the Lebesgue space $L_{p,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n)$ is defined as

$$L_{p,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n) = \{f : f \text{ is measurable on } \mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n, \|f\|_{p,\gamma} < \infty\},$$

where $\|f\|_{p,\gamma}$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{p,\gamma} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n} |f(x)|^p (x')^\gamma dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty \\ \text{ess sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n} |f(x)|, & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. If f is in $L_{p,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n)$ and φ is in $L_{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n)$, then the function $f \otimes \varphi$ belongs to $L_{p,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n)$ and

$$\|f \otimes \varphi\|_{p,\gamma} \leq \|f\|_{p,\gamma} \|\varphi\|_{1,\gamma}.$$

For any measurable set $E \in \mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n$, let $|E|_\gamma = \int_E (x')^\gamma dx$. Suppose f is a measurable function defined on $\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n$. The distribution function $f_{*,\gamma}$ of the function f is given by

$$f_{*,\gamma}(s) = |\{x : x \in \mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n, |f(x)| > s\}|_\gamma, \text{ for } s \geq 0.$$

The distribution function $f_{*,\gamma}$ is non-negative, non-increasing and continuous from the right. With the distribution function we associate the non-increasing rearrangement of f on $[0, \infty)$ defined by

$$f_\gamma^*(t) = \inf\{s > 0 : f_{*,\gamma}(s) \leq t\}.$$

Some elementary properties of $f_{*,\gamma}$ and f_γ^* are listed below. The proofs of them can be found in [2].

- (a) If $f_{*,\gamma}$ is continuous and strictly decreasing, then f_γ^* is the inverse of $f_{*,\gamma}$, that is $f_\gamma^* = (f_{*,\gamma})^{-1}$.
- (b) f_γ^* is continuous from the right.
- (c) $m_{f_\gamma^*}(s) = f_{*,\gamma}(s)$, for all $s > 0$, where $m_{f_\gamma^*}$ is a distribution function of the function f_γ^* with respect to Lebesgue measure m on $(0, \infty)$, that is $m_{f_\gamma^*}(s) = \int_{f_\gamma^*(t) > s} dt$.
- (d)

$$\int_0^t f_\gamma^*(s) ds = t f_\gamma^*(t) + \int_{f_\gamma^*(t)}^\infty f_{*,\gamma}(s) ds. \quad (6)$$

- (e) If $f \in L_{p,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, then

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n} |f(x)|^p (x')^\gamma dx(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(p \int_0^\infty s^{p-1} f_{*,\gamma}(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\int_0^\infty (f_\gamma^*(t))^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Furthermore, in the case $p = \infty$,

$$\text{ess sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| = \inf\{s : f_{*,\gamma}(s) = 0\} = f_\gamma^*(0),$$

f_γ^{**} will denote the maximal function of f_γ^* defined by

$$f_\gamma^{**}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f_\gamma^*(u) du, \text{ for } t > 0.$$

Note the following properties of f_γ^{**} :

- (i) f_γ^{**} is nonnegative, non-increasing and continuous on $(0, \infty)$ and $f_\gamma^* \leq f_\gamma^{**}$.
- (ii) $(f + g)_\gamma^{**} \leq f_\gamma^{**} + g_\gamma^{**}$.
- (iii) If $|f_n| \uparrow |f|$ a.e., then $(f_n)_\gamma^{**} \uparrow f_\gamma^{**}$.

For $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, the Lorentz space $L_{p,q,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n)$ is defined as

$$L_{p,q,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n) = \{f : f \text{ is measurable on } \mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n, \|f\|_{p,q,\gamma} < \infty\},$$

where $\|f\|_{p,q,\gamma}$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{p,q,\gamma} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(t^{\frac{1}{p}} f_\gamma^{**}(t) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, & 1 \leq p < \infty, 1 \leq q < \infty \\ \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} f_\gamma^{**}(t), & 1 \leq p \leq \infty, q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Note that if $1 < p \leq \infty$ then $L_{p,p,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n) = L_{p,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n)$. Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{p,\gamma} \leq \|f\|_{p,p,\gamma} \leq p' \|f\|_{p,\gamma}, \quad (7)$$

where $p' = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{p-1}, & 1 < p < \infty, \\ 1, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$

For $p > 1$, the space $L_{p,\infty,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n)$ is known as the Marcinkiewicz space or as Weak $L_{p,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n)$.

Note also that $L_{1,\infty,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n) = L_{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n)$.

If $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 < q < r < \infty$, then

$$L_{p,q,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n) \subset L_{p,r,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n).$$

Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{p,r,\gamma} \leq \left(\frac{q}{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{p,q,\gamma}. \quad (8)$$

3. PROOFS

Lemma 3.1. *Let f and φ be measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n$, where $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n} |f(x)| \leq \beta$ and f vanishes outside of a measurable set E with $|E|_\gamma = r$. Then, for $t > 0$,*

$$(f \otimes \varphi)_\gamma^{**}(t) \leq \beta r \varphi_\gamma^{**}(r) \quad (9)$$

and

$$(f \otimes \varphi)_\gamma^{**}(t) \leq \beta r \varphi_\gamma^{**}(t). \quad (10)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that the functions f and φ are nonnegative. Let $h = f \otimes \varphi$. For $a > 0$, define

$$\varphi_a(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi(x), & \text{if } \varphi(x) \leq a \\ a, & \text{if } \varphi(x) > a, \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi^a(x) = \varphi(x) - \varphi_a(x).$$

Define functions h_1 and h_2 by

$$h = f \otimes \varphi_a + f \otimes \varphi^a = h_1 + h_2.$$

Then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n} h_2(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n} f(x) \|\varphi^a\|_{1,\gamma} \leq \beta \int_a^\infty \varphi_{*,\gamma}(s) ds, \quad (11)$$

because $\varphi^a(x) = 0$ whenever $\varphi(x) \leq a$. Also

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n} h_1(x) \leq \|f\|_{1,\gamma} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n} \varphi_a(x) \leq \beta r a \quad (12)$$

and

$$\|h_2\|_{1,\gamma} \leq \|f\|_{1,\gamma} \|\varphi^a\|_{1,\gamma} \leq \beta r \int_a^\infty \varphi_{*,\gamma}(s) ds. \quad (13)$$

Now setting $a = \varphi_\gamma^*(r)$ in (11) we (12) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h_\gamma^{**}(t) &= \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t h_\gamma^*(s) ds \leq \|h\|_{\infty,\gamma} \leq \|h_1\|_{\infty,\gamma} + \|h_2\|_{\infty,\gamma} \leq \\ &\leq \beta r \varphi_\gamma^*(r) + \beta \int_{\varphi_\gamma^*(r)}^\infty \varphi_{*,\gamma}(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then using (6) we have the inequality (9).

Let us prove the inequality (10). For this purpose set $a = \varphi_\gamma^*(t)$, use (12) and (13). Then

$$\begin{aligned} t h_\gamma^{**}(t) &= \int_0^t h_\gamma^*(s) ds \leq \int_0^t (h_1)_\gamma^*(s) ds + \int_0^t (h_2)_\gamma^*(s) ds \leq \\ &\leq t \|h_1\|_{\infty,\gamma} + \int_0^\infty (h_2)_\gamma^*(s) ds = t \|h_1\|_{\infty,\gamma} + \|h_2\|_{1,\gamma} \leq \\ &\leq t \beta r \varphi_\gamma^*(t) + \beta r \int_{\varphi_\gamma^*(t)}^\infty \varphi_{*,\gamma}(s) ds = \\ &= \beta r \left(t \varphi_\gamma^*(t) + \int_{\varphi_\gamma^*(t)}^\infty \varphi_{*,\gamma}(s) ds \right) = \beta r t \varphi_\gamma^{**}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Without loss of generality we can assume that the functions f and φ are nonnegative. Let $h = f \otimes \varphi$ and fix $t > 0$. Select a nondecreasing sequence $\{s_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ such that $s_0 = f_\gamma^*(t)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} s_n = +\infty$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} s_n = 0$.

Let also

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} f_n(x)$$

where

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } f(x) \leq s_{n-1} \\ f(x) - s_{n-1} & \text{if } s_{n-1} < f(x) \leq s_n \\ s_n - s_{n-1} & \text{if } s_n < f(x), \end{cases}$$

Since the series converges absolutely we have

$$h = \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} f_n \right) \otimes \varphi = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} (f_n \otimes \varphi).$$

Define functions h_1 and h_2 by

$$h = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (f_n \otimes \varphi) + \sum_{n=-\infty}^0 (f_n \otimes \varphi) = h_1 + h_2.$$

Estimate $(h_1)_\gamma^{**}(t)$. For this purpose use the inequality (10) with $E = \{x : f(x) > s_{n-1}\}$ and $\beta = s_n - s_{n-1}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (h_1)_\gamma^{**}(t) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left((f_n \otimes \varphi)_\gamma^{**} \right) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (s_n - s_{n-1}) f_{*,\gamma}(s_{n-1}) \varphi_\gamma^{**}(t) = \\ &= \varphi_\gamma^{**}(t) \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} f_{*,\gamma}(s_{n-1}) (s_n - s_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(h_1)_\gamma^{**}(t) \leq \varphi_\gamma^{**}(t) \int_{f^*(t)}^{\infty} f_{*,\gamma}(s) ds. \quad (14)$$

To estimate $(h_2)_\gamma^{**}(t)$ we use the inequality (9). Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (h_2)_\gamma^{**}(t) &\leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^0 \left((f_n \otimes \varphi)_\gamma^{**} \right) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (s_n - s_{n-1}) f_{*,\gamma}(s_{n-1}) \varphi_\gamma^{**}(f_{*,\gamma}(s_{n-1})) = \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} f_{*,\gamma}(s_{n-1}) \varphi_\gamma^{**}(f_{*,\gamma}(s_{n-1})) (s_n - s_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$(h_2)_\gamma^{**}(t) \leq \int_0^{f_\gamma^*(t)} f_{*,\gamma}(s) \varphi_\gamma^{**}(f_{*,\gamma}(s)) ds. \quad (15)$$

We will estimate the integral on the right-hand side of (15) by making the substitution $s = f_\gamma^*(\xi)$ and integrating by parts. In order to justify the change of variable in the integral, consider a Riemann sum

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} f_{*,\gamma}(s_{n-1}) \varphi_\gamma^{**}(f_{*,\gamma}(s_{n-1})) (s_n - s_{n-1}),$$

that provides a close approximation to

$$\int_0^{f_\gamma^*(t)} f_{*,\gamma}(s) \varphi_\gamma^{**}(f_{*,\gamma}(s)) ds.$$

By adding more points to the Riemann sum if necessary, we may assume that the left-hand end point of each interval on which $f_{*,\gamma}$ is constant is included among the s_n that is contained in the interior of an interval on which $f_{*,\gamma}$ is constant, is deleted. It is now an easy matter to verify

that for each of the remaining s_n there is precisely one element, ξ_n , such that $s_n = f_\gamma^*(\xi_n)$ and that $f_{*,\gamma}(f_\gamma^*(\xi_n)) = \xi_n$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} f_{*,\gamma}(s_{n-1})\varphi_\gamma^{**}(f_{*,\gamma}(s_{n-1}))(s_n - s_{n-1}) = \\ & = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \xi_{n-1}\varphi_\gamma^{**}(\xi_{n-1})(f_\gamma^*(\xi_n) - f_\gamma^*(\xi_{n-1})), \end{aligned}$$

which, by adding more points if necessary, provides a close approximation to

$$- \int_t^\infty \xi \varphi_\gamma^{**}(\xi) df_\gamma^*(\xi).$$

Recalling (15) we get

$$(h_2)_\gamma^{**}(t) \leq \int_0^{f_\gamma^*(t)} f_{*,\gamma}(s)\varphi_\gamma^{**}(f_{*,\gamma}(s))ds = - \int_t^\infty \xi \varphi_\gamma^{**}(\xi) df_\gamma^*(\xi). \quad (16)$$

Now let δ be an arbitrarily large number and choose ξ_j such that $t = \xi_1 \leq \xi_2 \leq \dots \leq \xi_{j+1} = \delta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta \varphi_\gamma^{**}(\delta) f_\gamma^*(\delta) - t \varphi_\gamma^{**}(t) f_\gamma^*(t) = \\ & = \sum_{n=1}^j \xi_{n+1} \varphi_\gamma^{**}(\xi_{n+1}) (f_\gamma^*(\xi_{n+1}) - f_\gamma^*(\xi_n)) + \\ & + \sum_{n=1}^j f_\gamma^*(\xi_n) (\varphi_\gamma^{**}(\xi_{n+1}) \xi_{n+1} - \varphi_\gamma^{**}(\xi_n) \xi_n) = \\ & = \sum_{n=1}^j \xi_{n+1} \varphi_\gamma^{**}(\xi_{n+1}) (f_\gamma^*(\xi_{n+1}) - f_\gamma^*(\xi_n)) + \\ & \quad + \sum_{n=1}^j f_\gamma^*(\xi_n) \int_{\xi_n}^{\xi_{n+1}} \varphi_\gamma^*(\tau) d\tau \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^j \xi_{n+1} \varphi_\gamma^{**}(\xi_{n+1}) (f_\gamma^*(\xi_{n+1}) - f_\gamma^*(\xi_n)) + \\ & \quad + \sum_{n=1}^j f_\gamma^*(\xi_n) \varphi^*(\xi_n) (\xi_{n+1} - \xi_n). \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\delta \varphi_\gamma^{**}(\delta) f_\gamma^*(\delta) - t \varphi_\gamma^{**}(t) f_\gamma^*(t) \leq \int_t^\delta \xi \varphi_\gamma^{**}(\xi) df_\gamma^*(\xi) + \int_t^\delta f_\gamma^*(\xi) \varphi^*(\xi) d\xi. \quad (17)$$

Now we estimate the expression $\delta \varphi_\gamma^{**}(\delta) f_\gamma^*(\delta) - t \varphi_\gamma^{**}(t) f_\gamma^*(t)$ below.

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta \varphi_\gamma^{**}(\delta) f_\gamma^*(\delta) - t \varphi_\gamma^{**}(t) f_\gamma^*(t) = \\ & = \sum_{n=1}^j \xi_n \varphi_\gamma^{**}(\xi_n) (f_\gamma^*(\xi_{n+1}) - f_\gamma^*(\xi_n)) + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{n=1}^j f_{\gamma}^*(\xi_{n+1}) (\varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(\xi_{n+1})\xi_{n+1} - \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(\xi_n)\xi_n) = \\
& = \sum_{n=1}^j \xi_n \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(\xi_n) (f_{\gamma}^*(\xi_{n+1}) - f_{\gamma}^*(\xi_n)) + \\
& \quad + \sum_{n=1}^j f_{\gamma}^*(\xi_{n+1}) \int_{\xi_n}^{\xi_{n+1}} \varphi_{\gamma}^*(\tau) d\tau \geq \\
& \geq \sum_{n=1}^j \xi_n \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(\xi_n) (f_{\gamma}^*(\xi_{n+1}) - f_{\gamma}^*(\xi_n)) + \\
& \quad + \sum_{n=1}^j f_{\gamma}^*(\xi_{n+1}) \varphi_{\gamma}^*(\xi_{n+1}) (\xi_{n+1} - \xi_n).
\end{aligned}$$

In other words

$$\delta \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(\delta) f_{\gamma}^*(\delta) - t \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(t) f_{\gamma}^*(t) \geq \int_t^{\delta} \xi \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(\xi) df_{\gamma}^*(\xi) + \int_t^{\delta} f_{\gamma}^*(\xi) \varphi_{\gamma}^*(\xi) d\xi. \quad (18)$$

From (17) and (18) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
- \int_t^{\delta} \xi \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(\xi) df_{\gamma}^*(\xi) & = t \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(t) f_{\gamma}^*(t) - \delta \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(\delta) f_{\gamma}^*(\delta) + \int_t^{\delta} f_{\gamma}^*(\xi) \varphi_{\gamma}^*(\xi) d\xi \leq \\
& \leq t \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(t) f_{\gamma}^*(t) + \int_t^{\delta} f_{\gamma}^*(\xi) \varphi_{\gamma}^*(\xi) d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$- \int_t^{\infty} \xi \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(\xi) df_{\gamma}^*(\xi) \leq t \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(t) f_{\gamma}^*(t) + \int_t^{\infty} f_{\gamma}^*(\xi) \varphi_{\gamma}^*(\xi) d\xi.$$

By using this inequality and (16), we have

$$(h_2)_{\gamma}^{**}(t) \leq \int_0^{f_{\gamma}^*(t)} f_{*,\gamma}(s) \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(f_{*,\gamma}(s)) ds \leq t \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(t) f_{\gamma}^*(t) + \int_t^{\infty} f_{\gamma}^*(\xi) \varphi_{\gamma}^*(\xi) d\xi. \quad (19)$$

Finally, from (14), (19) and (6) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{\gamma}^{**}(t) & \leq (h_1)_{\gamma}^{**}(t) + (h_2)_{\gamma}^{**}(t) \leq \\
& \leq \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(t) \int_{f_{\gamma}^*(t)}^{\infty} f_{*,\gamma}(s) ds + t \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(t) f_{\gamma}^*(t) + \int_t^{\infty} f_{\gamma}^*(\xi) \varphi_{\gamma}^*(\xi) d\xi = \\
& = f_{\gamma}^*(t) \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(t) + \int_t^{\infty} f_{\gamma}^*(\xi) \varphi_{\gamma}^*(\xi) d\xi = \\
& = t f_{\gamma}^{**}(t) \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(t) + \int_t^{\infty} f_{\gamma}^*(\xi) \varphi_{\gamma}^*(\xi) d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Assume that the integral on the right of (4) is finite. Then it is easy to see that

$$sf_{\gamma}^{**}(s)\varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(s) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty. \quad (20)$$

Let $h = f \otimes \varphi$. By Theorem 1.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\gamma}^{**}(t) &\leq tf_{\gamma}^{**}(t)\varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(t) + \int_t^{\infty} f_{\gamma}^{*}(s)\varphi_{\gamma}^{*}(s)ds \leq \\ &\leq tf_{\gamma}^{**}(t)\varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(t) + \int_t^{\infty} f_{\gamma}^{**}(s)\varphi_{\gamma}^{*}(s)ds. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Since f_{γ}^{**} and φ_{γ}^{**} are non-increasing,

$$\frac{df_{\gamma}^{**}(s)}{ds} = -\frac{1}{s^2} \int_0^s f_{\gamma}^{*}(\tau)d\tau + \frac{1}{s}f_{\gamma}^{*}(s) = \frac{1}{s} (f_{\gamma}^{*}(s) - f_{\gamma}^{**}(s)), \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{d(s\varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(s))}{ds} = \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(s) + s \left(\frac{1}{s} (\varphi_{\gamma}^{*}(s) - \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(s)) \right) = \varphi_{\gamma}^{*}(s) \quad (23)$$

for m -almost all s . Since f_{γ}^{**} and φ_{γ}^{**} are absolutely continuous, we may use the method of the integration by parts for $\int_t^{\infty} f_{\gamma}^{**}(s)d(s\varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(s))$. Using (22), (23) and (20) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{\infty} f_{\gamma}^{**}(s)\varphi_{\gamma}^{*}(s)ds &= \int_t^{\infty} f_{\gamma}^{**}(s)d(s\varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(s)) = \\ &= f_{\gamma}^{**}(s)s\varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(s)|_t^{\infty} - \int_t^{\infty} s\varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(s)df_{\gamma}^{**}(s) = \\ &= -tf_{\gamma}^{**}(t)\varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(t) + \int_t^{\infty} \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(s)(f_{\gamma}^{**}(s) - f_{\gamma}^{*}(s))ds \leq \\ &\leq -tf_{\gamma}^{**}(t)\varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(t) + \int_t^{\infty} \varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(s)f_{\gamma}^{**}(s)ds. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

By (21) and (24) we have

$$h_{\gamma}^{**}(t) \leq \int_t^{\infty} f_{\gamma}^{**}(s)\varphi_{\gamma}^{**}(s)ds.$$

The proof is completed. The next lemma is a classical estimate known as Hardy's inequality.

Lemma 3.2. ([2]) *If $1 \leq p < \infty$, $q > 0$ and f is a nonnegative measurable function on $(0, \infty)$, then*

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{s} \int_0^s f(\tau)d\tau \right)^p s^{p-q-1}ds \leq \left(\frac{p}{q} \right)^q \int_0^{\infty} f(t)^p t^{p-q-1}dt. \quad (25)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $h = f \otimes \varphi$.

Suppose that q_1, q_2, q_0 are finite numbers. Then, by (4) we have

$$(\|h\|_{p_0, q_0, \gamma})^{q_0} = \int_0^{\infty} \left(s^{\frac{1}{p_0}} h_{\gamma}^{**}(s) \right)^{q_0} \frac{ds}{s} \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{s^{p_0}} \int_s^\infty f_\gamma^{**}(\tau) \varphi_\gamma^{**}(\tau) d\tau \right)^{q_0} \frac{ds}{s} = \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{t^{\frac{1}{p_0}}} \int_0^t f_\gamma^{**} \left(\frac{1}{\eta} \right) \varphi_\gamma^{**} \left(\frac{1}{\eta} \right) \frac{d\eta}{\eta^2} \right)^{q_0} \frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality was obtained by the change of variables $s = \frac{1}{t}$ and $\tau = \frac{1}{\eta}$. Using (25), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{t^{\frac{1}{p_0}}} \int_0^t f_\gamma^{**} \left(\frac{1}{\eta} \right) \varphi_\gamma^{**} \left(\frac{1}{\eta} \right) \frac{d\eta}{\eta^2} \right)^{q_0} \frac{dt}{t} \leq \\ &\leq p_0^{q_0} \int_0^\infty \left(t^{1-\frac{1}{p_0}} \frac{f_\gamma^{**} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \varphi_\gamma^{**} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right)}{t^2} \right)^{q_0} \frac{dt}{t} = \\ &= p_0^{q_0} \int_0^\infty \left(s^{1+\frac{1}{p_0}} f_\gamma^{**} (s) \varphi_\gamma^{**} (s) \right)^{q_0} \frac{ds}{s} \end{aligned}$$

The last equality was obtained by the change of the variable $t = \frac{1}{s}$. Since $\frac{q_0}{q_1} + \frac{q_0}{q_2} \geq 1$, one can find positive numbers n_1 and n_2 such that

$$\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} = 1 \text{ and } \frac{1}{n_1} \leq \frac{q_0}{q_1}, \frac{1}{n_2} \leq \frac{q_0}{q_2}.$$

By Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\|h\|_{p_0, q_0, \gamma})^{q_0} &\leq p_0^{q_0} \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(s^{\frac{1}{p_1}} f_\gamma^{**} (s) \right)^{q_0}}{s^{\frac{1}{n_2}}} \frac{\left(s^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \varphi_\gamma^{**} (s) \right)^{q_0}}{s^{\frac{1}{n_1}}} ds \leq \\ &\leq p_0^{q_0} \left[\int_0^\infty \left(s^{\frac{1}{p_1}} f_\gamma^{**} (s) \right)^{q_0 n_1} \frac{ds}{s} \right]^{\frac{1}{n_1}} \left[\int_0^\infty \left(s^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \varphi_\gamma^{**} (s) \right)^{q_0 n_2} \frac{ds}{s} \right]^{\frac{1}{n_2}} = \\ &= p_0^{q_0} (\|f\|_{p_1, q_0 n_1, \gamma})^{q_0} (\|\varphi\|_{p_2, q_0 n_2, \gamma})^{q_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (8) we have

$$\|h\|_{p_0, q_0, \gamma} \leq p_0 \|f\|_{p_1, q_0 n_1, \gamma} \|\varphi\|_{p_2, q_0 n_2, \gamma} \leq p_0 e^{\frac{1}{e}} e^{\frac{1}{e}} \|f\|_{p_1, q_1, \gamma} \|\varphi\|_{p_2, q_2, \gamma} \leq 3p_0 \|f\|_{p_1, q_1, \gamma} \|\varphi\|_{p_2, q_2, \gamma}.$$

Similar reasoning leads to the desired result in case one or more of q_1 , q_2 , q_0 are ∞ . Theorem 1.5 is proved.

4. ONE PARTICULAR CASE OF THEOREM 1.5

Consider the following particular case of Theorem 1.5. If we take $p_1 = \frac{n + |\gamma|}{n + |\gamma| - \alpha}$ with $\alpha > 0$, and $q_1 = \infty$ in Theorem 1.5, then the condition $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} > 1$ is equivalent to $\alpha < \frac{n + |\gamma|}{p_2}$, and the condition $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} - 1 = \frac{1}{q_0}$ is equivalent to $\frac{1}{q_0} = \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{\alpha}{n + |\gamma|}$. Thus we have the following results.

Corollary 4.1. *If $f \in L_{\frac{n+|\gamma|}{n+|\gamma|-\alpha}, \infty, \gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n)$, $\varphi \in L_{p,q,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n)$, where $0 < \alpha < \frac{n+|\gamma|}{p}$, then $(f \otimes \varphi) \in L_{r,q,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n)$ and*

$$\|(f \otimes \varphi)\|_{r,q,\gamma} \leq 3r \|f\|_{\frac{n+|\gamma|}{n+|\gamma|-\alpha}, \infty, \gamma} \|\varphi\|_{p,q,\gamma}, \tag{26}$$

where $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n+|\gamma|}$.

Corollary 4.2. *If $f \in L_{\frac{n+|\gamma|}{n+|\gamma|-\alpha}, \infty, \gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n)$, $\varphi \in L_{p,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n)$, where $0 < \alpha < \frac{n+|\gamma|}{p}$, then $(f \otimes \varphi) \in L_{r,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n)$ and*

$$\|(f \otimes \varphi)\|_{r,\gamma} \leq 3r \frac{p}{p-1} \left(\frac{p}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r}} \|f\|_{\frac{n+|\gamma|}{n+|\gamma|-\alpha}, \infty, \gamma} \|\varphi\|_{p,\gamma}. \tag{27}$$

where $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n+|\gamma|}$.

Proof. From (7), (8) and (26) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f \otimes \varphi)\|_{r,\gamma} &\leq \|(f \otimes \varphi)\|_{r,r,\gamma} \leq \left(\frac{p}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r}} \|(f \otimes \varphi)\|_{r,p,\gamma} \\ &\leq 3r \left(\frac{p}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r}} \|f\|_{\frac{n+|\gamma|}{n+|\gamma|-\alpha}, \infty, \gamma} \|\varphi\|_{p,p,\gamma} \leq 3r \frac{p}{p-1} \left(\frac{p}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r}} \|f\|_{\frac{n+|\gamma|}{n+|\gamma|-\alpha}, \infty, \gamma} \|\varphi\|_{p,\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that Ω is homogeneous of degree zero on $\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n$, i.e., $\Omega(sx) = \Omega(x)$ for all $s > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n$, and $\Omega \in L_{\frac{n+|\gamma|}{n+|\gamma|-\alpha}, \infty, \gamma}(S_{k,+}^{n-1})$, where $0 < \alpha < n+|\gamma|$, and $S_{k,+}^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n : |x| = 1\}$. Define the B -fractional integral (or Riesz potential) by

$$I_{\Omega,\alpha,\gamma} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n} \frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^{n+|\gamma|-\alpha}} (T^x f(y)) (y')^\gamma dy.$$

It is easily checked that $\frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^{n+|\gamma|-\alpha}} \in L_{\frac{n+|\gamma|}{n+|\gamma|-\alpha}, \infty, \gamma}(\mathbb{R}_{k,+}^n)$, if $0 < \alpha < \frac{n+|\gamma|}{p}$. Then one can get by Corollary 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev theorem for the B -fractional integrals on Lorentz and Lebesgue spaces (see [4]), respectively.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aliev, I. A., Gadjiev, A. D., (1988), On classes of operators types, generated by a generalized shift, Rep. Enlarged Sess. Semin. I.Vekua Appl.Math., 3(2), pp. 21-24 (in Russian)
- [2] Bennett, C., Sharpley, R., (1988), Interpolation of operators, Pure and Applied Math., 129, Academic Press, Orlando, Florida.
- [3] Guliyev, V. S., (2003), On maximal function and fractional integral, associated with the Bessel differential operator, Math. Inequal. Appl., 6(2), pp. 317-330.
- [4] Guliyev, V. S., Sherbetci, A., Ekincioglu, I., (2007), Necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness of rough B -fractional integral operators in the Lorentz spaces, J. Math. Anal.Appl., 336, pp.425-437.
- [5] Kipriyanov, I. A., Ivanov, L. A., (1983), The obtaining of fundamental solutions for homogeneous equations with singularities with respect to several variables, in Trudy Sem. S.L.Sobolev, 1, Akad. Nauk SSSR Sibirsk. Otdel Inst. Mat., Novosibirsk, pp. 55-77 (in Russian).
- [6] Kipriyanov, I.A., Kononenko, V. I., (1967), The fundamental solutions for B -elliptic equations, Differentsial'nye Uravneniya, 3(1), pp. 114-129.
- [7] Levitan, B.M., (1951), Expansion in Fourier series and integrals with Bessel functions, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk., 6(2), pp. 102-143.
- [8] O'Neil, R., (1963), Convolution operators and $L(p, q)$ spaces, Duke Math. J., 30, pp. 129-142.



Akif D. Gadjiev - graduated from the Azerbaijan State University in 1960. He got Ph.D degree in 1964 in the same University and Doctor of Science degree in 1982 in Moscow, Steklov Mathematical Institute. He is a professor since 1985, Corresponding Member of National Academy of Science of Azerbaijan since 1989, Academician since 2001. Presently he is academician-secretary of Division of Physical-Mathematical and Technical Sciences, Director of Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of the National Academy of Sciences. His research interests include harmonic analysis, theory of singular integrals and potentials and approximation theory.



Mubariz G. Hajibayov - was born in 1971, graduated from Baku State University in 1993 and got Ph.D in mathematics from Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of National Academy of Sciences of Azerbaijan in 2005. M. G. Hajibayov is a senior researcher at the "Mathematical Analysis" department of the same Institute and is an associate professor at the department "Mathematics and Mechanics" of National Academy of Aviation. His research areas are potential theory, singular integrals and harmonic analysis.